



200 RATING REPORT

-

NCAA DIVISION II ATTACKING AND DEFENSIVE PENALTY CORNERS (2024 SEASON)

INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY OF PENALTY CORNER ANALYTICS

*To get more information, personalized reports and improve corner performance reach out at: **penaltycorneranalytics@gmail.com***



This report has used data collected from each teams' websites; stats, schedule, box scores, game play-by-play and film. On the process of collecting data and making this report, a series of rules have been followed:

- Only games against Division II teams have been used. Any game played against a Division I or Division III opponent has been disregarded.
- A corner resulting in a stroke has not been counted as a goal regardless of the final outcome of the stroke. On this report only the corners resulting on direct goals have been counted for both, attacking penalty corners (APC) and defensive penalty corners (DPC).
- A corner has been considered to be over, once the ball has travelled over the broken line, except when it was clear that the offensive team passed it behind this line as part of their strategy. Therefore, rebounds from corners resulting in goals have been considered corner goals as long as the ball has not gone over the broken line.
- All percentages count success rates, therefore the APC section uses the percentage of corners that ended on goal, while the DPC section uses the percentage of corners that did not finish on goal.
- The three Division II teams that were not part of any conference during the 2024 season (D'Youville, Maryville & Roberts Wesleyan), have been included on the report, but they have not been considered on the sections that split teams by conferences.
- The sections 1 and 2 of this report work mainly with percentages. For these percentages it has been considered up to the second decimal of the resulting number. So for example, if a team had an APC goal percentage of 17.894, while another team had 17.898, they both have been registered with 17.89.
- This report includes a score created by *Penalty Corner Analytics*, named *200 rating*. This rating intends to give a numerical value and rank each team's overall effectiveness on set pieces. Therefore, this score is the result of a combination of success on both, attacking and defensive penalty corners. To calculate this score, each team's success percentage on APCs has been added to their success on DPCs; so for example, a team with an APC goal percentage of 21.82 and a DPC save percentage of 84.09 would have a *200 rating* of 105.91 (21.82+84.09). The score on this rating can go from 0 (your team did not score any goal from corners and conceded a goal in every corner they defended) to 200 (your team scored all corners they had and did not concede a single goal from corners). The average for this rate is a 100.
- The *200 rating* is also useful to know if a team's APC unit was effective compared to their DPC unit. Teams with a score over 100 have had an APC and DPC unit more effective than the teams they faced.
- On the fifth part of each section, the teams' results have been ranked considering the *Strength of Schedule* (SOS) of each team. To do that, their SOS has multiplied the score that each team got on APCs, DPCs and the *200 rating*; for example, a team with a *200 rating* of 109.62 and a SOS of 0.487 had a final score of 53.38 (109.62x0.487).
- The use of the *Strength of Schedule* is useful to see the real effectiveness of teams on set pieces, avoiding teams with high scores due to weak opponents on their schedules.



Section 1 – Attacking Penalty Corners

Section 1.1 – NCAA Division II Attacking Penalty Corners Ranking


Ranking	Team	APCs	APC goals	APC percentage
1 st	Southern New Hampshire	139	21	15.10%
2 nd	Adelphi	182	26	14.28%
3 rd	Saint Anselm	246	33	13.41%
4 th	Mansfield	163	20	12.26%
5 th	East Stroudsburg	221	27	12.21%
6 th	Bloomsburg	164	20	12.19%
7 th	Pace	181	22	12.15%
8 th	West Chester	158	19	12.02%
9 th	Saint Michael's	125	15	12.00%
10 th	Frostburg	102	12	11.76%
10 th	Kutztown	255	30	11.76%
12 th	Lander	120	14	11.66%
13 th	Shippensburg	229	26	11.35%
14 th	Maryville	53	6	11.32%
15 th	Mount Olive	177	19	10.73%
16 th	Millersville	112	12	10.71%
17 th	St. Thomas Aquinas	104	11	10.57%
18 th	New Haven	115	12	10.43%
19 th	Newberry	173	17	09.82%
20 th	Converse	104	10	09.61%
21 st	Assumption	202	19	09.40%
22 nd	Wingate	150	14	09.33%
23 rd	Franklin Pierce	79	7	08.86%
24 th	Limestone	148	13	08.78%
25 th	AIC	80	7	08.75%
26 th	Slippery Rock	85	7	08.23%
27 th	Bentley	143	11	07.69%
28 th	Mercy	150	11	07.33%
29 th	D'Youville	96	7	07.29%
30 th	IUP	159	11	06.91%
31 st	Molloy	103	6	05.82%
32 nd	Lincoln Memorial	57	3	05.26%
33 rd	Southern Connecticut	107	5	04.67%
34 th	Belmont Abbey	117	5	04.27%
35 th	Coker	65	1	01.53%
36 th	Roberts Wesleyan	27	0	00.00%
<i>Average</i>	<i>Division II</i>	<i>4,891</i>	<i>499</i>	<i>10.20%</i>



Section 1.2 –Attacking Penalty Corners Ranking by Conference

Section 1.2.1 – Northeast-10


Ranking	Team	APCs	APC goals	APC percentage
1 st	Southern New Hampshire	139	21	15.10%
2 nd	Adelphi	182	26	14.28%
3 rd	Saint Anselm	246	33	13.41%
4 th	Pace	181	22	12.15%
5 th	Saint Michael's	125	15	12.00%
6 th	St. Thomas Aquinas	104	11	10.57%
7 th	New Haven	115	12	10.43%
8 th	Assumption	202	19	09.40%
9 th	Franklin Pierce	79	7	08.86%
10 th	AIC	80	7	08.75%
11 th	Bentley	143	11	07.69%
12 th	Mercy	150	11	07.33%
13 th	Molloy	103	6	05.82%
14 th	Southern Connecticut	107	5	04.67%
-	<i>Average</i>	<i>1,956</i>	<i>206</i>	<i>10.53%</i>





Section 1.2.2 - PSAC


Ranking	Team	APCs	APC goals	APC percentage
1 st	Mansfield	163	20	12.26%
2 nd	East Stroudsburg	221	27	12.21%
3 rd	Bloomsburg	164	20	12.19%
4 th	West Chester	158	19	12.02%
5 th	Frostburg	102	12	11.76%
5 th	Kutztown	255	30	11.76%
7 th	Shippensburg	229	26	11.35%
8 th	Millersville	112	12	10.71%
9 th	Slippery Rock	85	7	08.23%
10 th	IUP	159	11	06.91%
-	<i>Average</i>	<i>1,648</i>	<i>184</i>	<i>11.16%</i>





Section 1.2.3 – SAC


Ranking	Team	APCs	APC goals	APC percentage
1 st	Lander	120	14	11.66%
2 nd	Mount Olive	177	19	10.73%
3 rd	Newberry	173	17	09.82%
4 th	Converse	104	10	09.61%
5 th	Wingate	150	14	09.33%
6 th	Limestone	148	13	08.78%
7 th	Lincoln Memorial	57	3	05.26%
8 th	Belmont Abbey	117	5	04.27%
9 th	Coker	65	1	01.53%
-	<i>Average</i>	<i>1,111</i>	<i>96</i>	<i>08.64%</i>





Section 1.3 -Division II APC Ranking by Conference

Ranking	Conference	APC percentage
1 st	PSAC	11.16%
2 nd	Northeast-10	10.53%
3 rd	SAC	08.64%
<i>Average</i>	<i>Division II</i>	<i>10.20%</i>





Section 1.4 - Teams Over and Under Division II Average





Section 1.5 - Division II APC Ranking Based on Strength of Schedule

Ranking	Team	APC percentage	SOS	APC based on SOS
1 st	East Stroudsburg	12.21%	0.618	07.54
2 nd	Southern New Hampshire	15.10%	0.499	07.53
3 rd	West Chester	12.02%	0.607	07.29
4 th	Kutztown	11.76%	0.605	07.11
5 th	Saint Anselm	13.41%	0.527	07.06
6 th	Adelphi	14.28%	0.491	07.01
7 th	Shippensburg	11.35%	0.575	06.52
8 th	Bloomsburg	12.19%	0.527	06.42
9 th	Mansfield	12.26%	0.504	06.17
10 th	Mount Olive	10.73%	0.564	06.05
11 th	Frostburg	11.76%	0.502	05.90
12 th	Saint Michael's	12.00%	0.485	05.82
13 th	Pace	12.15%	0.467	05.67
14 th	Maryville	11.32%	0.496	05.61
15 th	Lander	11.66%	0.474	05.52
16 th	Millersville	10.71%	0.512	05.48
17 th	New Haven	10.43%	0.514	05.36
18 th	Assumption	09.40%	0.544	05.11
19 th	Slippery Rock	08.23%	0.588	04.83
20 th	St. Thomas Aquinas	10.57%	0.455	04.80
21 st	Limestone	08.78%	0.529	04.64
22 nd	Newberry	09.82%	0.431	04.23
23 rd	Converse	09.61%	0.440	04.22
24 th	Bentley	07.69%	0.541	04.16
25 th	Franklin Pierce	08.86%	0.466	04.12
26 th	Wingate	09.33%	0.439	04.09
27 th	IUP	06.91%	0.567	03.91
28 th	AIC	08.75%	0.391	03.42
29 th	Mercy	07.33%	0.406	02.97
30 th	Lincoln Memorial	05.26%	0.524	02.75
31 st	D'Youville	07.29%	0.324	02.36
32 nd	Belmont Abbey	04.27%	0.533	02.27
33 rd	Molloy	05.82%	0.387	02.25
34 th	Southern Connecticut	04.67%	0.421	01.96
35 th	Coker	01.53%	0.516	00.78
36 th	Roberts Wesleyan	00.00%	0.433	00.00
<i>Average</i>	<i>Division II</i>	<i>10.20%</i>	-	<i>04.74</i>



Section 2 – Defensive Penalty Corners

Section 2.1 – NCAA Division II Defensive Penalty Corners Ranking


Ranking	Team	DPCs	DPC goals	DPC percentage
1 st	Southern New Hampshire	145	7	95.17%
2 nd	Lincoln Memorial	157	8	94.90%
3 rd	AIC	149	8	94.63%
4 th	Limestone	134	8	94.02%
5 th	Wingate	115	7	93.91%
6 th	Millersville	161	10	93.78%
7 th	Converse	138	9	93.47%
8 th	Mount Olive	111	8	92.79%
9 th	Mansfield	135	10	92.59%
10 th	Lander	104	8	92.30%
11 th	Mercy	168	14	91.66%
12 th	West Chester	71	6	91.54%
13 th	IUP	137	12	91.24%
14 th	Pace	164	15	90.85%
15 th	Bloomsburg	129	12	90.69%
16 th	Franklin Pierce	192	18	90.62%
17 th	Roberts Wesleyan	138	13	90.57%
18 th	Coker	132	13	90.15%
19 th	Assumption	100	10	90.00%
20 th	Adelphi	153	16	89.54%
21 st	Molloy	169	18	89.34%
22 nd	Kutztown	84	9	89.28%
23 rd	Shippensburg	94	11	88.29%
24 th	Frostburg	141	17	87.94%
25 th	Newberry	91	11	87.91%
26 th	Bentley	124	15	87.90%
27 th	St. Thomas Aquinas	219	27	87.67%
28 th	Saint Michael's	225	28	87.55%
29 th	East Stroudsburg	125	16	87.20%
30 th	D'Youville	114	15	86.84%
31 st	Southern Connecticut	176	24	86.36%
32 nd	Belmont Abbey	101	14	86.13%
33 rd	New Haven	177	27	84.74%
34 th	Slippery Rock	142	24	83.09%
35 th	Maryville	80	14	82.50%
36 th	Saint Anselm	96	17	82.29%
<i>Average</i>	<i>Division II</i>	<i>4,891</i>	<i>499</i>	<i>89.80%</i>



Section 2.2 – Defensive Penalty Corners Rankings by Conference

Section 2.2.1 – Northeast-10


Ranking	Team	DPCs	DPC goals	DPC percentage
1 st	Southern New Hampshire	145	7	95.17%
2 nd	AIC	149	8	94.63%
3 rd	Mercy	168	14	91.66%
4 th	Pace	164	15	90.85%
5 th	Franklin Pierce	192	18	90.62%
6 th	Assumption	100	10	90.00%
7 th	Adelphi	153	16	89.54%
8 th	Molloy	169	18	89.34%
9 th	Bentley	124	15	87.90%
10 th	St. Thomas Aquinas	219	27	87.67%
11 th	Saint Michael's	225	28	87.55%
12 th	Southern Connecticut	176	24	86.36%
13 th	New Haven	177	27	84.74%
14 th	Saint Anselm	96	17	82.29%
-	<i>Average</i>	<i>2,257</i>	<i>244</i>	<i>89.18%</i>





Section 2.2.2 - PSAC


Ranking	Team	DPCs	DPC goals	DPC percentage
1 st	Millersville	161	10	93.78%
2 nd	Mansfield	135	10	92.59%
3 rd	West Chester	71	6	91.54%
4 th	IUP	137	12	91.24%
5 th	Bloomsburg	129	12	90.69%
6 th	Kutztown	84	9	89.28%
7 th	Shippensburg	94	11	88.29%
8 th	Frostburg	141	17	87.94%
9 th	East Stroudsburg	125	16	87.20%
10 th	Slippery Rock	142	24	83.09%
-	<i>Average</i>	<i>1,219</i>	<i>127</i>	<i>89.58%</i>





Section 2.2.3 – SAC

Ranking	Team	DPCs	DPC goals	DPC percentage
1 st	Lincoln Memorial	157	8	94.90%
2 nd	Limestone	134	8	94.02%
3 rd	Wingate	115	7	93.91%
4 th	Converse	138	9	93.47%
5 th	Mount Olive	111	8	92.79%
6 th	Lander	104	8	92.30%
7 th	Coker	132	13	90.15%
8 th	Newberry	91	11	87.91%
9 th	Belmont Abbey	101	14	86.13%
-	<i>Average</i>	<i>1,083</i>	<i>86</i>	<i>92.05%</i>




SOUTH ATLANTIC CONFERENCE



Section 2.3 -Division II DPC Ranking by Conference

Ranking	Conference	DPC percentage
1 st	SAC	92.05%
2 nd	PSAC	89.58%
3 rd	Northeast-10	89.18%
<i>Average</i>	<i>Division II</i>	<i>89.80%</i>





Section 2.4 - Teams Over and Under Division II Average





Section 2.5 - Division II DPC Ranking Based on Strength of Schedule

Ranking	Team	DPC percentage	SOS	DPC based on SOS
1 st	West Chester	91.54%	0.607	55.56
2 nd	Kutztown	89.28%	0.605	54.01
3 rd	East Stroudsburg	87.20%	0.618	53.88
4 th	Mount Olive	92.79%	0.564	52.33
5 th	IUP	91.24%	0.567	51.73
6 th	Shippensburg	88.29%	0.575	50.76
7 th	Limestone	94.02%	0.529	49.73
8 th	Lincoln Memorial	94.90%	0.524	49.72
9 th	Assumption	90.00%	0.544	48.96
10 th	Slippery Rock	83.09%	0.588	48.85
11 th	Millersville	93.78%	0.512	48.01
12 th	Bloomsburg	90.69%	0.527	47.79
13 th	Bentley	87.90%	0.541	47.55
14 th	Southern New Hampshire	95.17%	0.499	47.48
15 th	Mansfield	92.59%	0.504	46.66
16 th	Coker	90.15%	0.516	46.51
17 th	Belmont Abbey	86.13%	0.533	45.90
18 th	Frostburg	87.94%	0.502	44.14
19 th	Adelphi	89.54%	0.491	43.96
20 th	Lander	92.30%	0.474	43.75
21 st	New Haven	84.74%	0.514	43.55
22 nd	Saint Anselm	82.29%	0.527	43.36
23 rd	Saint Michael's	87.55%	0.485	42.46
24 th	Pace	90.85%	0.467	42.42
25 th	Franklin Pierce	90.62%	0.466	42.22
26 th	Wingate	93.91%	0.439	41.22
27 th	Converse	93.47%	0.440	41.12
28 th	Maryville	82.50%	0.496	40.92
29 th	St. Thomas Aquinas	87.67%	0.455	39.88
30 th	Roberts Wesleyan	90.57%	0.433	39.21
31 st	Newberry	87.91%	0.431	37.88
32 nd	Mercy	91.66%	0.406	37.21
33 rd	AIC	94.63%	0.391	37.00
34 th	Southern Connecticut	86.36%	0.421	36.35
35 th	Molloy	89.34%	0.387	34.57
36 th	D'Youville	86.84%	0.324	28.13
<i>Average</i>	<i>Division II</i>	<i>89.80%</i>	-	<i>44.57</i>



Section 3 – 200 Rating


Section 3.1 – NCAA Division II 200 Rating Ranking

Ranking	Team	200 Rating
1 st	Southern New Hampshire	110.27
2 nd	Mansfield	104.85
3 rd	Millersville	104.49
4 th	Lander	103.96
5 th	Adelphi	103.82
6 th	West Chester	103.56
7 th	Mount Olive	103.52
8 th	AIC	103.38
9 th	Wingate	103.24
10 th	Converse	103.08
11 th	Pace	103.00
12 th	Bloomsburg	102.88
13 th	Limestone	102.80
14 th	Kutztown	101.04
15 th	Lincoln Memorial	100.16
16 th	Frostburg	99.70
17 th	Shippensburg	99.64
18 th	Saint Michael's	99.55
19 th	Franklin Pierce	99.48
20 th	East Stroudsburg	99.41
21 st	Assumption	99.40
22 nd	Mercy	98.99
23 rd	St. Thomas Aquinas	98.24
24 th	IUP	98.15
25 th	Newberry	97.73
26 th	Saint Anselm	95.70
27 th	Bentley	95.59
28 th	New Haven	95.17
29 th	Molloy	95.16
30 th	D'Youville	94.13
31 st	Maryville	93.82
32 nd	Coker	91.68
33 rd	Slippery Rock	91.32
34 th	Southern Connecticut	91.03
35 th	Roberts Wesleyan	90.57
36 th	Belmont Abbey	90.40
<i>Average</i>	<i>Division II</i>	<i>100.00</i>



Section 3.2 – 200 Rating Ranking by Conference Section 3.2.1 – Northeast-10


Ranking	Team	200 Rating
1 st	Southern New Hampshire	110.27
2 nd	Adelphi	103.82
3 rd	AIC	103.38
4 th	Pace	103.00
5 th	Saint Michael's	99.55
6 th	Franklin Pierce	99.48
7 th	Assumption	99.40
8 th	Mercy	98.99
9 th	St. Thomas Aquinas	98.24
10 th	Saint Anselm	95.70
11 th	Bentley	95.59
12 th	New Haven	95.17
13 th	Molloy	95.16
14 th	Southern Connecticut	91.03
-	<i>Average</i>	99.19





Section 3.2.2 - PSAC


Ranking	Team	200 Rating
1 st	Mansfield	104.85
2 nd	Millersville	104.49
3 rd	West Chester	103.56
4 th	Bloomsburg	102.88
5 th	Kutztown	101.04
6 th	Frostburg	99.70
7 th	Shippensburg	99.64
8 th	East Stroudsburg	99.41
9 th	IUP	98.15
10 th	Slippery Rock	91.32
-	<i>Average</i>	<i>100.50</i>





Section 3.2.3 – SAC

Ranking	Team	200 Rating
1 st	Lander	103.96
2 nd	Mount Olive	103.52
3 rd	Wingate	103.24
4 th	Converse	103.08
5 th	Limestone	102.80
6 th	Lincoln Memorial	100.16
7 th	Newberry	97.73
8 th	Coker	91.68
9 th	Belmont Abbey	90.40
-	<i>Average</i>	<i>99.61</i>




SOUTH ATLANTIC CONFERENCE



Section 3.3 -Division II DPC Ranking by Conference

Ranking	Conference	DPC percentage
1 st	PSAC	100.50
2 nd	SAC	99.61
3 rd	Northeast-10	99.19





Section 3.4 - Teams Over and Under Division II Average





Section 3.5 - Division II 200 Rating Ranking based on SOS

Ranking	Team	200 Rating	SOS	200 rating based on SOS
1 st	West Chester	103.56	0.607	62.86
2 nd	East Stroudsburg	99.41	0.618	61.43
3 rd	Kutztown	101.04	0.605	61.12
4 th	Mount Olive	103.52	0.564	58.38
5 th	Shippensburg	99.64	0.575	57.29
6 th	IUP	98.15	0.567	55.65
7 th	Southern New Hampshire	110.27	0.499	55.02
8 th	Limestone	102.80	0.529	54.38
9 th	Bloomsburg	102.88	0.527	54.21
10 th	Assumption	99.40	0.544	54.07
11 th	Slippery Rock	91.32	0.588	53.69
12 th	Millersville	104.49	0.512	53.49
13 th	Mansfield	104.85	0.504	52.84
14 th	Lincoln Memorial	100.16	0.524	52.48
15 th	Bentley	95.59	0.541	51.71
16 th	Adelphi	103.82	0.491	50.97
17 th	Saint Anselm	95.70	0.527	50.43
18 th	Frostburg	99.70	0.502	50.04
19 th	Lander	103.96	0.474	49.27
20 th	New Haven	95.17	0.514	48.91
21 st	Saint Michael's	99.55	0.485	48.28
22 nd	Belmont Abbey	90.40	0.533	48.18
23 rd	Pace	103.00	0.467	48.10
24 th	Coker	91.68	0.516	47.30
25 th	Maryville	93.82	0.496	46.53
26 th	Franklin Pierce	99.48	0.466	46.35
27 th	Converse	103.08	0.440	45.35
28 th	Wingate	103.24	0.439	45.32
29 th	St. Thomas Aquinas	98.24	0.455	44.69
30 th	Newberry	97.73	0.431	42.12
31 st	AIC	103.38	0.391	40.42
32 nd	Mercy	98.99	0.406	40.18
33 rd	Roberts Wesleyan	90.57	0.433	39.21
34 th	Southern Connecticut	91.03	0.421	38.32
35 th	Molloy	95.16	0.387	36.82
36 th	D'Youville	94.13	0.324	30.49
<i>Average</i>	<i>Division II</i>	<i>100.00</i>	-	<i>49.33</i>